dossier termijn

signaal



NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

14.03.2005

Applicant's or agent's file reference WO 6068-Lg/jdh

International filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (day/month/year)

International application No. PCT/EP 03/51085

19.12.2003

20.12.2002

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

Applicant FCI

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:

<u></u>

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016 **Authorized Officer**

Bauer, J

Tel. +31 70 340-3238



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70) CT/PTO 16 JUN 2005

				····					
Applicant's or agent's file reference WO 6068-Lg/jdh			FOR FURTHER ACTIO	HER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)					
International application No. Inte		International filing date (day/m	onth/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)					
PCT/EP 03/51085 19.12.200		19.12.2003		20.12.2002					
i .	ional Pat 13/658	ent Classification (IPC) or b	poth national classification and IP						
Applicant FCI									
This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.									
2. T	his REP	ORT consists of a total	of 4 sheets, including this cov	er sheet.	``				
 This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT). These annexes consist of a total of sheets. 									
3. TI	his repo	rt contains indications re	plating to the following items:						
1	\boxtimes	Basis of the opinion							
II		Priority ·							
111		Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
١٧	′ 🗆	Lack of unity of inventi	Lack of unity of invention						
V	☒	Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
Vi		Certain documents cite	ed						
Vi		Certain defects in the i	e international application						
VI	III 🗆	Certain observations o	on the International application						
Date of submission of the demand			Date	of completion of the	nis report				
15.07.2004				14.03.2005					
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:				rized Officer	And Andreas Prince of the Control of				
3		opean Patent Office - P.B.: 2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Ba		ol. S					
Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016			651 epo n!	one No. +31 70 :	340-4460				



PCT/EP 03/51085

	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they hav been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).	'e
	(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed report.)	to this

- 6. Additional observations, if necessary:
- V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N)	-	Claims Claims	2-14 1
Inventive step (IS)		Claims Claims	1-14
Industrial applicability (IA)		Claims Claims	1-4

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following document:

D1: US-A-4 451 107 (LAUTERBACH JOHN H ET AL) 29 May 1984 (1984-05-29)

1) The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

The document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document, see also column 4, lines 13-35):

Shielding cage (11) determined by a plurality of walls and comprising one or more mounting tails (16) for mounting said shielding cage (11) to a circuit board (70) whereby said shielding cage (11) is a die-cast shielding cage (11), said mounting tails (16) being integrated mounting tails (16) of said die-cast shielding cage (11)

2) Dependent claims 2-14 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty or inventive step.

In claims 2-14 a slight constructional change in the shielding cage of claim 1 is defined which comes within the scope of the customary practice followed by persons skilled in the art, especially as the advantages thus achieved can readily be foreseen. Consequently, the subject-matter of claims 2-14 also lacks an inventive step.

3) Claims 1-14 relate to subject-matter regarding electrical shielding, consequently the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims, as required by Article 33(4) PCT, is fulfilled.

